

**Remarks**

Claims 1 through 19 are currently pending in the application, and have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. published patent application No. 2002/0049702 (Aizikowitz et al.) in view of a printout from the web site www.think121.com.

The inventor had previously filed a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 to disqualify the www.think121.com website as valid prior art with respect to the present application. In the most recent Office Action, the Examiner rejected the Declaration for this purpose because it did not explicitly state that the Declarant was also the sole inventor of the technology described in the website. In response, the Applicant is supplying herewith a second Declaration with the additional statement (No. 5) that he is the sole inventor of the technology disclosed in the "Think121.com" reference, which is the www.think121.com website.

As a result, the Applicants respectfully submit that the "Think121.com" website has been disqualified as prior art with respect to this application and as a result, requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection under § 103(b) of Claims 1-19 of the application and allow those claims.

**Conclusion**

The Applicants believe the claims to be in condition for allowance as they stand and request an advisory action from the Examiner based on this response.

Dated: July 1, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Dennis M. Carleton  
Reg. No. 40,938  
Attorney for the Applicants  
Buchanan Ingersoll  
One Oxford Centre, 20th Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Voice: 412-562-1895  
Fax: 412-562-1041  
E-mail: [carletondm@bipc.com](mailto:carletondm@bipc.com)